20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9		
10	CELESTE PACKER,	No. C 08-5589 WHA (PR)
11	Petitioner,	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
12	v.	
13	LYDIA HENSE, Warden,	
14	Respondent.	
15		
16	Petitioner, a California prisoner currently incarcerated at North Kern State Prison, h	
17	filed a pro se petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. He also	
18	requests leave to proceed in forma pauperis.	
19	Venue is proper because the conviction was obtained in Santa Clara County, which	

is in this district. See 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d).

STATEMENT

A jury convicted petitioner of second-degree robbery on an aiding and abetting theory. He was sentenced to thirteen years in prison. His conviction was affirmed on direct appeal by the California Court of Appeal, and the California Supreme Court denied review.

DISCUSSION

STANDARD OF REVIEW A.

This court may entertain a petition for writ of habeas corpus "in behalf of a person in custody pursuant to the judgment of a State court only on the ground that he is in custody in

violation of the Constitution or laws or treaties of the United States." 28 U.S.C. § 2254(a); *Rose v. Hodges*, 423 U.S. 19, 21 (1975). Habeas corpus petitions must meet heightened pleading requirements. *McFarland v. Scott*, 512 U.S. 849, 856 (1994). An application for a federal writ of habeas corpus filed by a prisoner who is in state custody pursuant to a judgment of a state court must "specify all the grounds for relief which are available to the petitioner ... and shall set forth in summary form the facts supporting each of the grounds thus specified." Rule 2(c) of the Rules Governing § 2254 Cases, 28 U.S.C. foll. § 2254. "'[N]otice' pleading is not sufficient, for the petition is expected to state facts that point to a 'real possibility of constitutional error." Rule 4 Advisory Committee Notes (quoting *Aubut v. Maine*, 431 F.2d 688, 689 (1st Cir. 1970). "Habeas petitions which appear on their face to be legally insufficient are subject to summary dismissal." *Calderon v. United States Dist. Court (Nicolaus)*, 98 F.3d 1102, 1108 (9th Cir. 1996) (Schroeder, J., concurring).

B. LEGAL CLAIMS

As grounds for federal habeas relief, petitioner asserts that: (1) there was insufficient evidence of his guilt; (2) his right to present a defense was violated by the trial court's exclusion of certain testimony; (3) his due process rights were violated by testimony that he was on parole at the time of the crime; (4) the errors identified in issues two and three were cumulatively prejudicial; and (5) the trial court erred in denying his new trial motion.

Claim five is not a basis for federal habeas relief. *See Estelle v. McGuire*, 502 U.S. 62, 67-68 (1991) (federal habeas unavailable for violations of state law or for alleged error in the interpretation or application of state law). The other issues are sufficient to require a response.

CONCLUSION

- 1. Leave to proceed in forma pauperis (document number 2 on the docket) is **GRANTED**.
- 2. Claim five is **DISMISSED**.
- 3. The clerk shall mail a copy of this order and the petition with all attachments to the respondent and the respondent's attorney, the Attorney General of the State of California. The clerk shall also serve a copy of this order on the petitioner.

///

1

9 10

8

11 12 13

14 15

16 17 18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

G:\PRO-SE\WHA\HC.08\PACKER5589.OSC.wpd

4. Respondent shall file with the court and serve on petitioner, within sixty days of
service of this order, an answer conforming in all respects to Rule 5 of the Rules Governing
Section 2254 Cases, showing cause why a writ of habeas corpus should not be granted.
Respondent shall file with the answer and serve on petitioner a copy of all portions of the state
trial record that have been transcribed previously and that are relevant to a determination of the
issues presented by the petition.

If petitioner wishes to respond to the answer, he shall do so by filing a traverse with the court and serving it on respondent within thirty days of service of the answer.

- 5. Respondent may file a motion to dismiss on procedural grounds in lieu of an answer, as set forth in Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. If respondent files such a motion, petitioner shall file with the court and serve on respondent an opposition or statement of non-opposition within thirty days of receipt of the motion, and respondent shall file with the court and serve on petitioner a reply within 15 days of receipt of any opposition. If a motion is filed it will be ruled upon without oral argument, unless otherwise ordered.
- 6. Petitioner is reminded that all communications with the court must be served on respondent by mailing a copy of the document to respondent's counsel. Papers intended to be filed in this case should be addressed to the clerk rather than to the undersigned. Petitioner also must keep the court informed of any change of address by filing a separate paper with the clerk headed "Notice of Change of Address," and comply with any orders of the court within the time allowed, or ask for an extension of that time. Failure to do so may result in the dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b). See Martinez v. Johnson, 104 F.3d 769, 772 (5th Cir. 1997) (Rule 41(b) applicable in habeas cases).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January _______, 2009.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE